



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,189	07/03/2003	Gerald Pfaff	032301.343	2385
25461	7590 03/24/2006		EXAMINER	
	MBRELL & RUSSEL	NAFF, DAVID M		
	TREE STREET, N.E. PROMENADE II		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
ATLANTA, GA 30309-3592			1651	

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/613,189	PFAFF ET AL			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		David M. Naff	1651			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period fo	r Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Ju</u>	<u>ıly 2003</u> .				
<i>,</i> —	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.					
· _	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) 🔲	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	,				
11) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage			
* 0	application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list		d			
3	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	u.			
Attachmen	t(s)		·			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) 🛛 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 9/26/03 12/16/03.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1651

10

15

20

25

DETAILED ACTION

Claims examined on the merits are 1-15, which are all claims in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C.

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Requiring in claim 1 optionally emulsifying the active substance with the addition of an emulsifier without setting forth the substance in which the active substance is emulsified, and steps used for emulsifying makes the claim unclear as to the form of the active substance that results from emulsifying. In claims 8-13, there is not clear antecedent basis for "aqueous emulsion or solution". Claim 1 has not recite producing an aqueous emulsion or solution.

In the last line of claim 4, "other B vitamins" is unclear as to vitamins required.

Claims 10-13 are unclear as to the active substance required by reciting "an active substance" since the claims on which they depend require a specific active substance.

Art Unit: 1651

5

10

15

20

25

30

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bendixen et al (EP 0 772 978 A1).

The claims require forming a solution or emulsion of an active substance, and applying the solution or emulsion to a bulk material, which can be feed.

Bendixen et al disclose preparing solutions containing vitamins, amino acids and enzymes and applying the solutions to feed (page 8, lines 18-20). The feed is a bulk material and the method of Bendixen et al is the same as presently claimed. The claims do not exclude adding separate solutions as may be added by Bendixen et al.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner

Art Unit: 1651

20

presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 3, 8-10, and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as 10 being unpatentable over Bendixen et al.

The claims require percentages of the active substance in the bulk material or in the solution or emulsion.

It would have been a matter of individual preference and obvious to select a preferred amount of the vitamins, enzymes and amino acids to add to the solution and feed of Bendixen et al.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 5 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bendixen et al in view of Schweikert et al (5,350,773).

The claims require the active substance to be a carotinoid or xanthophyll.

Schweikert et al disclose preparing a liquid product containing a carotene for adding to animal food.

It would have been obvious to include carotene in a solution of 25 Bendixen et al to obtain the function of the carotene in animal feed

Art Unit: 1651

5

10

15

20

as suggested by Schweikert et al. The amount of active substance in claim 11 would have been a matter of individual preference and obvious to the skilled artisan.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David M. Naff whose telephone number is 571-272-0920. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1651